

AMENDMENTS TO DRAWINGS

The attached sheet of drawings includes new sheet containing Figure 3.

Attachments: New Sheet

Annotated Sheets Showing Changes

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Drawings

In the Office Action, Examiner objects to the drawings under 37 CFR 1.83(a) for failing to show every feature specified in the claims. Examiner lists the following features as omitted: pump, conduit, electrical cable, and bendable tubing section.

Applicants have submitted new sheet containing Figure 3 with this response. A description of Figure 3 was included in the specification as originally filed; however, the figure itself was inadvertently left out. The new sheet containing Figure 3 contains no new matters and is supported by the specification as originally filed on page 9 lines 11-13, and page 11 line 18 through page 12 line 25.

With respect to the drawing objections, claim 3 has been amended to cancel the pump. Element 37 shows the electrical cable and element 35 shows the conduit. The bendable tubing section is equivalent to the steering mechanism shown by element 3. Applicants respectfully request that the objection to the drawings be withdrawn.

Claim Objections

In the Office Action, Examiner objects to claims 5 and 6 due to the recitation of the word "optionally." Applicants have canceled claim 5 and amended claim 6 so that it depends on claim 1. Applicants respectfully requests that the objection to claims 5 and 6 be withdrawn and the claims formally allowed at this time.

Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §102

In the Office Action, Examiner rejects claims 1-4, 9, 10, 14, 16, 17, 20, and 21 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent 3,422,631 to Silverman (hereafter Silverman). Applicants have canceled claim 5 and amended claim 1 to include subject matter, which Examiner has determined to be allowable in claim 5. Additionally Applicants have canceled claim 18 and amended claim 16 to include subject matter, which Examiner has determined to be allowable in claim 5. Claims 2-4, 9, 10, 14, 17, 20, and 21 all depend from either claim 1 or claim 16 and include all of the limitations of the independent claims. Applicant respectfully requests that the rejection of claims 1-4, 9, 10, 14, 16, 17, 20, and 21 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) be withdrawn and the claims formally allowed at this time.

Allowable Subject Matter

Examiner has indicated that claims 5-8, 11-13, 15, 18, 19, 22 are objected to for being dependent on a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form. Applicants thank Examiner for indicating the allowability of these claims.

Conclusion

Applicants have addressed each and every objection and ground for rejection. The amended claims are patentable over the cited art and Applicants request that the application be allowed. In the event the Examiner has any questions or there are any issues with respect to the application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned at the telephone number below prior to the issuance of any written action.

Respectfully submitted,

JOHN M. BETTS, JOSEF G. C. COENEN,
PIETER K. A. KAPTEIJN, PETER
OOSTERLING,
and PAUL D. SCHILTE

By /Rachael A. Stiegel/
Agent, Rachael A. Stiegel
Registration No. 54,469
(713) 241-1842

P.O. Box 2463
Houston, Texas 77252-2463